

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,802	03/03/2004	Toshimichi Ogisu	032405R165	4350
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			- EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MO	MTUC	12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/790,802	OGISU, TOSHIMICHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		N Edwards	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2006.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 976 06  Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/790,802

Art Unit: 1774

1. Applicant's election of group I claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 8 in the reply filed on 11/21/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Page 2

2. Claims 4,7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 line 6-7 " an angle of –45… a certain direction of the metal layer" is vague and indefinite because 1) –45 is unclear to the meaning of the phrase and 2) -45 is relative to <u>a certain direction</u> which is an unknown subjective element. See claims 4 lines 4,9, and 13 for the same problem (certain direction). Also see claim 7 and 8 for all of the same problems as claim 4. To overcome these rejections simply cancel the claims.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ogisu '837 (7082,837).

Ogisu'837, a Fuji Jukogyo Kabushiki Kaisha patent, teach a composite comspring a fiber reinforced layers, shape memory alloy (metal) Layers, and a damage senor

Application/Control Number: 10/790,802

Art Unit: 1774

laminated to the resin layer which laminated to the shape memory alloy metal layer. See the abstract and Fig 1, for example. Ogis'837 further teaches a predetermined starin hass beedn applied to each layer ( or film).

Page 3

5. Claim1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ogisu '286 (US 6986286).

Ogsui'286 is applied for all the reasons of record supra for Ogisu '837 since there disclosers are the same (division applications).

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ogisu'218 (US 6655218).

Ogisu'218 is applied for all the reasons supra for Ogisu' 837 since there disclosures are the same (division applications).

7. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding the IDS dated9/28/04, the lined references where not considered since applicant provided incorrect PGPub numbers.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,802

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary

Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

N Edwards

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1774

Page 4